

Briefing (Captain and Judge)		1 - NO	3	5 - YES
Environment (e.g. wind direction, first aid, evacuation, hazards, animals, recon)	No recon requested by captain, no emergency plan described to team	Captain called for some items of the environment section, but not a thorough scene evaluation or emergency evacuation plan	Captain called for recon of area while reminding team to watch for hazards, checked wind direction and made emergency evacuation plans	
Entry - air monitoring, permit, LOTO, con space	No questions asked about ability to enter space	At least asked for permit and LOTO as applicable	asked for permit and LOTO as applicable, air monitoring and specific hazards such as confined space	
Number of Patients (e.g. last contact, patient status)	No questions asked about patient		At least 2 of the following questions asked: number of patients; last contact; patient status	
Additional Resources (i.e. ALS, life flight, trauma/burn center, official representative)	No additional resources requested		Appropriate additional resources asked for, not necessarily all listed on the scoresheet	
MOI / NOI (e.g. what happened, what were they doing, witnesses)	No questions asked about MoI or NoI		Attempt to determine MoI / NoI by asking what happened, or if there were any witnesses	
Extrication (e.g. access to get to patient and access to get them out)	No questions asked about egress and access to patient		Asked about acceptable ways to get to patient and get them out	
Captain Duties		1 - NO	3	5 - YES
Quality of captain brief to team	Captain missed key information from briefing with judge when relaying to team	captain covered the main points, but left out certain details of the scenario requirements	Captains brief was thorough and covered all details of the scenario	
Maintain safety of team members and victim(s) throughout scenario	Captain did not check safety of team or victim at all	Checked on team and victim at least every 15 minutes in the scenario	Captain reminded the team to work safe and checked on victims safety at least every 5 min	
Maintain accountability of team members and victim(s) throughout scenario	Captain did not check accountability of team or victim at all	Checked on team and victim at least once during the scenario	Captain checked accountability at least every 15 minutes	
	Captain did not assign key roles (i.e. rescuer, medic, rigger, etc.) and did not clearly communicate the rescue plan and tasks	Captain assigned some roles, but others were not assigned clearly	Captain assigned all key roles and tasks clearly, efficiently and effectively while allowing team members to execute the rescue plan vision.	
	Captain made all decisions, overrode team members decisions and had to help team complete tasks that other team members could not	Captain had to assist in detailed decisions to execute the vision		
Captain assigns roles and communicated the rescue plan	Low level of trust in team members ability to make decisions	Mid level of Trust in team members ability to correctly carry out instructions	Clearly trusted team members to complete assigned tasks	
Captain promotes a calm, measured and focused approach to the rescue	Captain did not promote a calm, measured focused approach to the rescue, team members were frantic, running or yelling	Captain maintained calm approach with infrequent yelling or change of plans	Captain maintained calm composure during entirety of the rescue	
Anchors		1 - NO	3	5 - YES
Appropriate anchor point(s) / change of direction chosen and built safely	Did not take into account line up of ropes, forces exerted on COD versus main anchor (i.e. forces on the COD anchors were higher than the main anchor with a weaker anchor)	appropriate anchor points chosen for simplicity, not necessarily based on forces and downstream planning	Clearly thought about line placement and positioning, direct forces and COD forces when choosing anchor points	
Appropriate padding on anchors	Padding was missing from a majority of the anchors (Texora/Mamba slings still require padding around rough and/or angled edges)	Anchor padding was ineffective or became ineffective during the rescue and was not adjusted accordingly	Anchors were always padded appropriately and effectively	

Equipment		1 - NO	3	5 - YES
Overall team proficiency using rescue equipment	One more than one occasion, a team member was unsure how to use equipment (i.e. MPD orange handle was feathered, loaded equipment incorrectly multiple times, etc.)	Team was able to use the equipment at a basic level (i.e. some difficulty loading equipment, but was able to realize the mistake and correct it prior to safety check) Equipment was not used in the most efficient way	Team was proficient using all equipment Equipment was used safely and efficiently	
Care for equipment	Multiple pieces of gear dropped, placed on the ground, kitchen left "dirty", messy work area	Some rope / equipment left on the ground when not in use, but an attempt at keeping the kitchen clean was made	No equipment dropped, equipment kept on pads (off grating), ropes and equipment kept in bags or on person when not in use and the kitchen stayed "clean"	
Knots		1 - NO	3	5 - YES
Number of knots incorrectly dressed				
Knots appropriate for task (e.g. headspace)	Most knots were inappropriate for the application (i.e. too long of loop when headspace should be minimized)	Knots met minimum requirements	All knots were optimized for the task and it was clear that thought went into choice of knot for the application (i.e. a butterfly versus an inline 8)	
Lower / Haul System		1 - NO	3	5 - YES
Safety check performed before loading system	Did not complete		Completed	
System loaded and checked for stability / alignment before beginning movement	Did not complete		Completed	
Smoothly operated lowering / haul system	Jerky movements on more than one occasion on the lower/raise	Smoothly operated lowering / haul system	Smoothly operated lowering / haul system - no dynamic moves and all bumps / transitions were minimized	
Smoothly operated safety system	Jerky movements on more than one occasion on the safety line. Safety line caught when operated as a slack belay, safety line has more than a 1' bow when operated as a slack belay	Smoothly operated safety system	Smoothly operated safety system - no dynamic moves and all bumps / transitions were minimized	
Appropriate equipment chosen for scenario	Equipment chosen was not appropriate for the task	Equipment chose worked for the scenario, but was not the most efficient use of the equipment	Thought went into pieces of equipment chosen for the scenario to maximize efficiency throughout the scenario	
Were ropes free from abrasion / rubbing	Padding was missing from a majority of the rope / surface interfaces	Rope padding was ineffective or became ineffective (i.e. rubbing or abrasion) during the rescue and was not adjusted accordingly	Ropes were always padded/protected appropriately	
DCDs locked and tied-off when unmanned when used as static line	Did not lock off when unmanned		All DCDs locked off when unmanned on static lines or lower lines	
Patient Care		1 - NO	3	5 - YES
Did rescuer ask permission to treat	Did not ask		Did ask	
Correct patient positioning based on injury (horizontal vs. vertical)	Patient positioning contraindicated based on medical findings		Patient positioning indicated based on medical findings	
Patient's safety maintained (helmet and glasses - LIVE PATIENTS ONLY)	Helmet and Glasses were removed from live patients and no reasoning was given as to why		Helmet and glasses remained on the live patient the entire rescue	
Patient lashing installed correctly (i.e. minimum of seat harness and patient lashing, mirrored lashing unless for specific reason)	No seat harness, no mirrored lashing without a reason given to the judge		Patient always had secondary attachment to basket, lashing was mirrored unless specified why not	

Injuries managed appropriately	Management of injuries not acceptable for injuries based on EMR level of knowledge or better	Management of injuries acceptable based on EMR level of knowledge or better	Injuries managed above the bare minimum based on EMR level of knowledge or better. Rescuer showed compassion while treating and explained each of the interventions and why they were doing it.
Patient ride smooth / level / controlled	Jerky, uneven patient ride. Basket hit multiple surfaces	Patient movement was acceptable, no major dynamic moves, minor bumps	Patient ride was level, controlled, no dynamic moves, few bumps, basket free from hitting walls / surfaces
Did a team member stay in verbal or physical contact with patient at all times	Rescuer left victims side/verbal contact without telling victim plan - abandonment		Rescuer maintained physical or verbal contact with patient at all times and explained if they needed to step away from arms length of victim at any point
Reassured, comforted and made aware of rescue plans and medical interventions	Rescuer did not talk to the patient, did not tell them of the plan for evacuation or what interventions were being done	Rescuer talked to the patient and explained most moves and most interventions, but the patient sometimes went 5+ minutes without reassurance	Rescuer truly acted as the patient's advocate and explained the process including each movement and each intervention
Time to physical patient contact			
Patient Turnover to ALS	1 - NO	3	5 - YES
Where and How was patient(s) found	Did not tell judge where and how patient(s) were found		Told judge where and how patient(s) were found
Mol / Nol	Did not tell judge CC (Mol / Nol)		Told judge CC (Mol / Nol)
How was patient extricated / brought to higher level of care	Did not tell judge how patient was extricated		Told judge how patient was extricated
Interventions done	Did not tell what interventions were done		Told judge what interventions were done
Time of patient turnover to ALS			
Captain Turnover to Judge	1 - NO	3	5 - YES
Verbally verify team accountability	Captain did not verbally verify accountability once team was in the box		Captain did verbally verify accountability once team was in the box
Verbally verify team safety	Captain did not verbally verify team safety once team was in the box		Captain did verbally verify team safety once team was in the box
Time to scenario completion - all members and gear inside finish box - Captain to tell judge when done			
General	1 - NO	3	5 - YES
Did team members maintain personal safety at all times (i.e. 3-points of contact, no running, maintained PPE, etc.)	Unsafe behavior observed by team members on more than one occasion	Members adhered to basic safety requirements but did not make an effort to go beyond the minimum required	Members went above the minimum required safety requirements and consistently reminded each other to work safely